

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION I	NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/648,672		08/26/2003	James Mulligan	KPG-5088US	3906
1333	7590	09/07/2005		EXAMINER	
BETH READ PATENT LEGAL STAFF				SCHILLING, RICHARD L	
EASTMAN KODAK COMPANY			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
343 STATE STREET				1752	
ROCHESTER, NY 14650-2201			DATE MAILED: 09/07/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		= (' '
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/648,672	MULLIGAN ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Richard L. Schilling	1752
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailling date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be the distribution of the company and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under <i>E</i>	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pr	
Disposition of Claims		•
4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-5,12,14,15 and 17-20 is/are rejected 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.	
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the original paper. 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 28 29 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ot	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat ity documents have been receiv I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11-28-03;2-17-05. U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05) Office Ac	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/648,672

Art Unit: 1752

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims1-5, 12, 14, 15 and 17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Purbrick et al. '006. Purbrick et al (see particularly col. 3, line 15-col. 4,line 25; col.4, line 60-col. Line 22; col.17, lines21-61) discloses transfer donor elements with donor layers containing fine particles of cyanoacrylate copolymers, IR absorbers and nondegradable polymers which would function as binders in the layers. If Purbrick et al. do not anticipate the instant claims, then it would at least be obvious to one skilled in the art to use the donor layers with nondegradable polymers in thermal imaging transfer processes with IR exposure through supports. Claims 10 and 11 are not rejected over Purbrick et al. since the nondegradable polymers in the donor layers are disclosed as functioning as binders for the IR absorbing dyes.

Application/Control Number: 10/648,672 Page 3

Art Unit: 1752

2. Claims 6-11, 16 and 21 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected

base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the

limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

3. The prior art cited by applicants has been considered. Robello et al.

'088 and '079 are cited of interest as disclosing latex particles of cyanoacrylates which

are coated to form films. Mulligan et al. is cited of interest as disclosing layers of binders

and cyanoacrylate polymer particles wherein ablation is undesirable and reduced

compared to films of cyanoacrylate polymer (ex. 1 and 2).

. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Richard L.

Schilling at telephone number 571-272-1335.

RICHARD L. SCHILLING PRIMARY EXAMINER

GROUP #190 /